


## Group 5a

formerly Group 9

## Group 5b

**Note**

The subject of Fife Chapter 26 is Samuel Ewing (c1705-1758), one of the sons of William?, the progenitor of Group 2a. But **JD's** DNA results are nothing like the men in Group 2a, so there has been a mistake somewhere. **JD** only claims to have proven his conventional genealogy to James D. Ewing b1773, who appears in this Chapter on page 220, and we do not know where above that the mistake may have been made. Interestingly, a man named Ewan (**ID**) on whom we have no conventional genealogy and only 12-marker results, exactly matches **JD** at all of the first 12 markers.




**Differences from Ewing Modal**

All of the men in Group 5 are so far from the Ewing modal, genetic distance 50 or more, that it makes little sense to list their individual differences from the Ewing modal. On the other hand, if a subgroup has more than two individuals, we can calculate a modal for the subgroup and compare its members with that, and we have done this with Group 5a. Note that **JD** is genetic distance 39 from the Group 5a modal, so even though he is also in Haplogroup I, he is nowhere near as close to the men in Group 5a as even the "unrelated" men in R1b are to the men in the large closely related group of Ewings.

**Differences from Group 5a modal**

DYS 393 = 14	<b>WM</b>
DYS 389-1 = 13	<b>VC</b>
DYS 570 = 17	<b>VC</b>
Genetic distance 39	<b>JD</b>

**Fife Ch 26**



Note: this page needs revision to show DR as the only member of 5\*.

See the Group 5 Results Table and Discussion for full results and more information; and, see the individual participant lineages for more details, wives, places, etc.